1-24-2025

## How to Complement Biomarker Testing with Diagnostic Imaging

Catherine R. Sears, M.D., ATSF

Associate Professor, Indiana University School of Medicine Director Pulmonary Oncology and Lung Cancer Screening- Richard L. Roudebush VA Medical Center Indianapolis, Indiana



### Disclosures

1. Research Funding/Grants to the Institution:

Department of Veterans Affairs (CSP, NPOP, BLR&D), American Lung Association, Biodesix, Inc. (site-PI)

2. Consulting/Speaking/other engagment:

Bristol-Myers Squibb (research consultant, unpaid), American Cancer Society/NLCRT, NCCN (unpaid), American Thoracic Society (unpaid), CHEST (journal editorial board member, unpaid)

3. Some trade names are used in this talk

i3 Health and FLASCO have mitigated all relevant financial relationships

The contents of this presentation do not represent the views of the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs of the United States Government.



### **Biomarkers**



Vedachalam Tanner and Sears. Chapter 4: Approach to Lung Nodules. Respiratory Medicine (Ed. MacRosty and Rivera). In Review.

### **Biomarkers in lung cancer continuum**





#### Ideal Lung Cancer Biomarker

- 1. Favorable Performance Metrics
- 2. Easily Accessible Material
- 3. Small amount needed
- 4. Little/simple sample preparation
- 5. Inexpensive/Cost Effective
- 6. Applicable to Large Target Population
- 7. Clinically Useful
  - Advantage over/with standard of care Easy to interpret / act on results





# **Biomarkers for Lung Cancer Screening**



IJ

#### Lung Cancer Biomarkers - Screening

Biomarker	Measurement	Validation Cohort	Sensitivity Specificity*	Proposed Use	Availability/ Clinical Utility	
Nodify CDT (Biodesix)	Blood auto-antibody panel (7): ELISA	Patients: 1613 Cancers: 61	Sens: 37% Spec: 91%	Screening risk assessment (outside LCS criteria, more frequent LDCT)	CLIA/ US (NCT01700257 – completed 2020/ UK (ECLS) – Stage Shift with more frequent screening	Not being used for
miR-Test	Blood: miRNA	COSMOS Patients: 1115 Cancers:48	Sens: 78% Spec: 75%	Enrichment of high-risk screening cohort	No/Clinical unity trial (COSMOS II)	screening
MSC signature (miRNA)	Blood: miRNA	MILD pts: 1085/939 Cancers: 85	*Sens: 95% *Spec: 78%	Enrichment of high-risk screening cohort	No/ <b>Clinical utility trial completed 3/2022</b> (BIOMILD) – More lung CA dx, supports longer duration btwn scans if negative	1
PAULA's (Protein Assay Using Lung cancer Analytes) (Genesys)	Blood antigen / protein panel: ELISA	Patients: 150 Cancers: 75	Sens: 71% Spec: 88%	Enrichment of high-risk screening cohort	CLIA / Recent new clinical validation trial using 5th biomarker	
4-MP	Blood auto-antibody panel (4): immunofluorescence bead/flow cytometry + PLCO2012	PLCO pts: Patients: 2,745 Cancers: 552	Sens: 83.5%*/88.4% Spec: 71.6%*/56.2% (*PLCO2012 <u>&gt;</u> 1.7%PLC O2012 <u>&gt;</u> 1%)	Enrichment of high-risk screening cohort/ Early nodule diagnosis	No	Sears, Mazzone.
Lung EpiCheck (Nucleix)	DNA methylation	European/Chinese Patients: 361 Cancers:209	Sens 78%-90% (Stage I-IV). Spec: matched control 64%, unmatched controls: 93%	Screening / Early diagnosis	No / clinical investigations	Clin Chest Med. 2020 Fahrmann et al. J
DELFI-LUNG FirstLook-Lung (Delfi Diagnostics, Inc)	Blood: cfDNA fragmentation pattern	Enrolling prospective study- 15,000 LCS pt	Varies based on multiple analytic cohorts	Enrichment of high-risk screening cohort / symptomatic lung cancer / Rule-in nodule biomarker	No / NCT05306288 (CASCADE-LUNG for LCS) / NCT04825834 (DELFI-L101 for Nodule Clin validation)	Clin Oncol. 2022 Nichols et al. BMC Res Notes. 2017
RespiraGene (Synergenz)	Oral swab: 20 SNPs + clinical			Enrichment of high risk screening cohort Smoking cessation for high risk (GeTSS)	No	Mathios et al. Nature Communications. 2021

In development: Lung-CLiP (ctDNA mutations), Freenome (cfDNA-multiomics), Multicancer screening biomarkers: Galleri (cfDNA methylome, GRAiL), Adela MRD (cfDNA methylome), Cancer-SEEK (cfDNA mutations+proteins, Exact Sciences), SPOT-MAS (cfDNA methylome+fragmentation), BlueStar Genomics (cfDNA 5hmC), ELISA-Seq (cfDNA methylome, Burning Rock Dx),, Verita (EV proteins, Biological Dynamics), Caris Life Sci (cfDNA/RNA NGS), Early Diagnostics (cfDNA mC-NGS), Freenome (cfDNA-multiomics), LungLifeAI (CTC FISH+AI), Natera (cfDNA NGS+Protein), 20/20 Gene Sytems (blood Ag)

### **Current lung screening guidelines**

	USPSTF (2021)		NCCN (10/2024)
Age	50-80 уо	50-77уо	<u>&gt;</u> 50*
Smoking history	<u>≥</u> 20 PY	<u>≥</u> 20 PY	$\geq$ 20 PY <sup>1</sup> or $\geq$ 20 years <sup>2B</sup>
Smoking Status	Current or quit < 15yrs	Current or quit <u>&lt;</u> 15yrs	Current or quit
Secondary criteria	None	None	Additional risk factor(s) (race, exposure to radon, risk calculator, etc)



\*-77 yo or older if healthy and likely to benefit

# Biomarkers for LCS: Optimize Benefit to Risk Ratio

#### **RISKS:**





### Clinically Useful Biomarker for Lung Cancer Screening

Group #1 Currently Screen Eligible Increase cost-effectiveness of LCS

Patients with comorbidities: highest benefit

Appropriate duration to follow-up LDCT (negative)

Duration to LDCT f/u (positive LDCT)

Increase LDCT uptake in those not getting screened (Low Resource or Disadvantaged Groups)

• Rural/Geographic, Socioeconomically disadvantaged, non-compliant

### **Sample Utility Schema**





### **Combining Biomarkers with LCS** Estimates and Risk Stratification Models

#### **DELFI-LUNG**



INDIANA UNIVERSITY MELVIN AND BREN SIMON COMPREHENSIVE CANCER CENTER

1000

#### Lung Cancer Risk Assessment Models (Gold Standard?)

	PLCOm2012 *	Bach Model	LLP*	LCDRAT	Kovalchik Model	TSCE Models	Knote Model	Hunt Model
Source	PLCO	CARET	LLP	PLCO	PLCO	NHS, HPFS	CPS-I/II (ACS) +/- NHS	HUNT2
Factors	Age* Race/ethni city BMI Education Prior CA Smoking* Family History COPD	Age Sex Smoking	Age* Sex* Prior CA Smoking*	Age Sex Race/ ethnicity BMI Education Smoking FH Emphysema	Age BMI Smoking FH Emphysema	Age Sex Smoking	Age Race/ ethnicity Smoking	Age BMI Smoking Daily cough

Lung Cancer Screening Biomarker Needs to be Better than Clinical Risk Factors



#### Combining Biomarkers with LCS Estimates and Risk Stratification Models

#### 4MP + PLCO2012







D<sub>avop</sub> in TABLE 2. Accuracy Performances in the Validation Set for the 4MP, PLCO<sub>m2012</sub>, and the Combined Model of 4MP Plus PLCO<sub>m2012</sub> at Fixed Thresholds of  $\geq$  1.7% and  $\geq$  1% 6-Year Risk, to be Comparable With USPSTF2013 and USPSTF2021 Criteria in ESIA10+

Criteria	N1 <sup>a</sup>	NO	1-Year Sensitivity <sup>b</sup>	Specificity	1-Year TP <sup>e</sup>	<b>FP</b> <sup>c</sup>	
> 1.7% risk threshold							
USPSTF20134	119	32,243	0.716	0.564	85	14,061	
4MP*	119	32,243	0.824	0.632	98	11,866	
PLCO <sub>m2012</sub> <sup>1</sup>	119	32,243	0.776	0.654	93	11,145	
Combined 4MP + PLCOni2012 models	119	32,243	0.835	0.693	100	9,905	
≥ 1,0% risk threshold							
USPSTF2021 <sup>d</sup>	119	32,243	0.785	0.493	94	16,356	
4MP*	119	32,243	0.915	0.454	109	17,591	
PLCOm2012 <sup>1</sup>	119	32,243	0.920	0.466	110	17,224	
Combined 4MP + PLCOmpn12 mode <sup>pt</sup>	119	32,243	0.884	0.562	105	14,122	

Fahrmann et al. J Clin Oncol. 2022



# Trend: Lung Cancer Risk Prediction from a Single LDCT Image - Sybil

- NLST (15,000 participants)
  - Training set: 28,162 LDCTs
  - Development set: 6,839 LDCTs
  - Internal test set: 6,282 LDCTs
- Independent Testing Sets
  - MGH: 8,821 LDCTs (169 lung cancers)
  - CGMH: 12,280 LDCTs (101 lung cancers)





#### Mikhael PG et al. JCO. 2023

#### **Clinically Useful Biomarker for Lung Cancer** Screening

**#2 Currently Screen Ineligible** 

Define High Risk Cohort who will Benefit from LCS

Refine/Combine with Clinical Risk Factors

#### ~ 50% of lung cancer patients

- Radon Exposure
- Occupational Exposures (asbestos, chromium, coal smoke, diesel fumes, uranium, radiation, silica, soot)
- HIV+ on ART
- Lung diseases (COPD, pulmonary fibrosis)
- Family history of lung cancer (early, neversmokers)
- Prior cancer history (lymphoma, H&N cancer, smoking-related cancers)
- Heavy 2<sup>nd</sup>-hand smoke, biofuel, open stove exposure
- Populations at high risk for EGFR mutant lung cancer



## Trend: Pan-Cancer Biomarkers Galleri (GRAIL)

в

Targeted Genome Methylation Assay using cfDNA

Prospective collection/retrospective analysis (CCGA)

• 15,254 patients (8584 cancer, 6670 non-cancer)

Klein et al. Annals Oncol. 2021;32(9):1167-1177.

/FRSI

- 142 sites in N. America
- Clinical validation on 5309 participants
- Sens: 51.5% (75% Lung Cancer)

Spec: 99.5%

±95% CI 79.5 75% 50% sensitivity 21.9% 25% 0% 100 0.0%

Lung

# Biomarkers for Lung Cancer Prognosis

Cancer Develops	Nodule/Cancer Local Distant Recurrence Detectable Metastasis Metastasis CT Chest CXR					
	Screening					
Risk Assessment						
Cano	cer Detection					
<pre></pre>	Prognostic					
	Diagnostic					
	Personalized Treatment					



## Circulating Free Tumor DNA (cfDNA)

ŪJ



### ctDNA detection for recurrence

- 88 NSCLC patients
  - Longitudinal samples, 3 yrs f/u
- 17% ctDNA 2wks-4mo post-tx
  - Detected 64% clinical recurrence
  - Spec >98.5%
  - Detected median 213 days before

clinical recurrence

May predict residual disease post-treatment ? h<u>elpf</u>ul biomarker to select for adjuvant tx/clinical trials



# Biomarkers for Nodule Risk Assessment



ψ

### Problem... Pulmonary Nodules are Common

>1,600,000 incidental lung nodules/year.... And growing!



Most (Early) Lung Cancers are Detected Incidentally!



Gould et al. Am J Resp Crit Care Med, 2015;192(10) Smith-Bindman et al. JAMA 2019;322(9):843-859

Osarogiagbon et al. J Clin Oncol 2022;40:2094-2105.

### **Pulmonary Nodule Diagnostic Biomarkers**

#### 62-Year-Old Male Smoker

#### 54-Year-Old Male Smoker





LUNG CANCER HISTOPLASMOSIS



### **The Lung Nodule Biomarker: Goal**





### **Problem: Intermediate Risk Nodules**

The incidentally detected IPN population: roughly 1.2 million per year





#### **Nodule Management Biomarkers**

	Measurement	Validation Cohort	Sens/Spec	Proposed Use	Availability/ Clinical Utility
Nodify CDT (Biodesix)	Blood auto-antibody panel: ELISA	Patients: 1613 Cancers: 61	Sens: 37% Spec: 91%	<ul> <li>Nodule management (intermed. risk)</li> <li>Positive = aggressive management</li> </ul>	Yes / No clinical utility trial
Nodify XL2 (Biodesix)	Plasma Protein: MRM Mass Spectrometry + 5 Clinical characteristics (Mayo)	PANOPTIC: Patients: 392 (178*) Cancers: 29	Sens 97% Spec: 44%	<ul> <li>Nodule management (low-intermed risk)</li> <li>Pretest Probability Cancer &lt; 50%</li> <li>Negative = radiologic surveillance</li> </ul>	Yes/Clinical utility trials initiated (ALTITUDE) NCT04171492
Percepta GSC (Veracyte)	Bronchial epithelial cells: mRNA/gene expression profile	AEGIS-1/AEGIS-2 Patients: 639 Cancers: 487	Sens: 88% Spec: 47%	<ul> <li>Nodules (intermed risk) undergoing bronchoscopy</li> <li>Negative = radiologic surveillance</li> </ul>	Yes / Clinical Utility extrapolated
Percepta Nasal Swab (Veracyte)	Nasal epithelial cells: mRNA/gene expression + clinical risk factors	AEGIS-2/Lahey Patients: 249 Cancers: 134	High -> Low Risk: Sens: 58 -> 96% Spec: 96 -> 42%	<ul> <li>Nodules (intermediate risk)</li> <li>Negative = radiologic surveillance</li> </ul>	Yes/ No clinical utility trial
DELFI-LUNG (Delfi Diagnostics, Inc)	Blood: cfDNA fragmentation pattern	Enrolling prospective study- 15,000 LCS pt	Varies based on multiple analytic cohorts	Enrichment of high-risk screening cohort / symptomatic lung cancer / Rule-in nodule biomarker	No / NCT05306288 (CASCADE- LUNG for LCS) / NCT04825834 (DELFI-L101 for Nodule Clin validation)

Large clinical validation/registry studies ongoing - LungLB (LungLifeAI), DELFI, DetermaDx Lung, CyPath Lung (bioAffinity), 4-MP, Radiomics and many multi-cancer platforms Withdrawn From the Market: Paula's test (for nodule diagnosis), MagArray

Sears, Mazzone. Clin Chest Med. 2020. Trivedi et al. Biomed Research Clin Practice. 2018. Kossenkov et al. Cancer Res. 2019. Ostrin et al. J Thorac Oncol. 2021. Lamb et al. CHEST. 2023



### Trend = Less Invasive Percepta Nasal



1<sup>st</sup> Gen (AEGISI/II,Lahey): Bronchial airway brush, mRNA <sup>B</sup> Non-dx bronchoscopy; Low-intermediate risk nodule "Ru

Validation cohort: 1129 (487 malignant): Sens 88%,

Registry=34% down-classified, 78% had change in pract

2<sup>nd</sup> Gen: Nasal epithelial swab, mRNA gene panel (1120 t Validation cohort: 249 samples (134 lung CA), cigarette : Low risk: Sens 96% (spec 42%); High risk: Spec 90% (se *included a cohort w/ prior cancers (non-lung)*



Silvestri et al. NEJM 2015; 373:243-251. <sup>2</sup>Lee et al. CHEST. 2021; 159(1):401-412 3Lamb et al. CHEST. 2023.



### Trend – Less Invasive CyPath Lung (BioAffinity)

#### **Redeeming Sputum?**

- Single cell suspensions from induced sputum x 3 days
- Automated flow cytometry -> Porphyrin labeled cancer-associated cells
- Prospective collection
- Model validation (LSRII): 150 high-risk -> 28 lung cancer
- Independent validation (Navios-EX): 32 high-risk -> 6 lung cancer
  - Unable to perform: 13/45 (29%);Technical: 5, Insuff. cells: 7, Insuff Macs:1



82-83% Sens. 77-87% Sens. 95-96% NPV. 45-61% PPV.

Lemieux et al. Resp Res. 2023.

#### Trend: Confirming Estimated Clinical Utility Nodify XL2 - ALTITUDE Study

#### Low-intermediate risk incidental nodule "Rule-Out" Biomarker, blood, MRM proteomics

#### Nodify XL2 – Biomarker + Clinical Risk



#### ALTITUDE – Clinical Utility Study



#### Results of Clinical Validation Study (PANOPTIC)

- Sensitivity: 97%. NPV 98%.
- Anticipated 47% fewer procedures on benign nodules (reclassified < 5% risk)</li>



Silvestri et al. Chest 2018;154(3):491-500.



### Trend: Al in practice -> Radiomics for Nodule Risk Prediction

Ū





#### Deep Learning Modeling - LCP-CNN for Nodule Risk Assessment



### LCS: Radiomics vs Radiologists: LUMAS



Ardila et al. Nature Medicine. 2019

Ψ

#### Trend: Combining radiomics and biomarkers





#### Trend: Combining Modalities for Nodule Diagnosis Combined Blood, Imaging, Clinical Biomarkers (CBM)

#### Blood (CYFRA 21-1) + Risk Module (Mayo) + Radiomics (nodule machine learning) VUMC (n = 171) DECAMP (n = 99)UPMC (n = 99)UC Denver (n = 88) Rate / False Positive Ra Sensitivity **CBM Risk CBM Risk CBM** Risk **CBM Risk** 0.86 (0.81, 0.91) 0.76 (0.68. 0.83) 0.94 (0.90, 0.97) 0.84 (0.78, 0.91) Mayo Risk Mayo Risk Mayo Risk Mayo Risk 0.76 (0.70, 0.82) 0 59 (0 48 0 68 0.86 (0.81 0.91) 0.67 (0.56, 0.77) 1 - Specificity 1 - Specificity 1 - Specificity 1 - Specificity 0 0 1 0 1 0 C Precision/Recall Curves



Kammer et al. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2021.



# **Biomarkers for Personalized Treatment**

Cancer Develops	Nodule/Cancer Local Distant Recurrence Detectable Metastasis Metastasis CT Chest CXR
	Screening
Risk Assessment	V
Cano	er Detection
	Prognostic
	Diagnostic
	Personalized Treatment





#### Chaft et al. Nature Rev Clinical Onc. 2021



TABLE 3: Recent representative studies using deep learning to predict gene status in lung cancer patients on CT images.

Т	ro	Author	Year	Design	Dataset	Training cohort	Validation cohort	Test cohort	Model	Outcome	Performance reported	lutational
		Baihua Zhang	2021	Retrospective multicenter on CT	914 LUAD	638	NA	71 internal; 205 external	SE- CNN + radiomics mapping	EGFR mutation	AUC 0.910 and 0.841 in internal and external test cohorts, respectively	ιμιατιοπαι
3		Wei Mu	2020	Retrospective multicenter on PET/CT	681 NSCLCs	429	187	65 external	CNN	EGFR mutation treatment response	AUC 0.86, 0.83, and 0.81 in the training, internal validation, and external test cohorts, respectively	
• Mo	Shuo Wang	2019	Retrospective multicenter on CT	844 LUAD	603	Five-fold cross validation; 241 independent	NA	CNN	EGFR mutation	AUC 0.85 in the primary cohort; AUC 0.81 in the independent validation cohort	g history, clinical	
		Wei Zhao	2019	Retrospective multicenter on CT	616 LUAD	348	116	115 internal; 37 public	CNN 3D DenseNets	EGFR mutation	AUC 0.758 and 0.750 in the internal test set and public test set	
• Ext me	Ext me	Junfeng Xiong	2018	Retrospective single-center on CT	503 LUAD	345	158	NA	CNN	EGFR mutation	An AUC (CNN) of 0.776 and an AUC (a fusion model of CNNs and clinical features) of 0.838 in the validation set	rom 2 additional
		Panwen Tian	2021	Retrospective multicenter on CT	939 NSCLCs	750	93	96	KNN	PD-L1 expression treatment response	AUC 0.78, 0.71, and 0.76 in the training, validation, and test cohorts	
		Ying Zhu	2020	Retrospective single-center on CT	127 LUAD	NA	Five-fold cross validation	NA	CNN 3D DenseNets	PD-L1 expression	AUC more than 0.750	
	Ψ	Zhengbo Song	2020	Retrospective multicenter on CT	1028 NSCLCs	651	286	91	CNN 3D ResNet10	ALK fusion status Treatment response	AUC(CNN) 0.8046 and 0.7754 in the primary and validation cohorts, AUC (trained by both CT images and clinicopathological information) 0.8540 and 0.8481 in the primary and	Wang C et al. J Oncol. 2024 Kim, S et al. Sci Rep. 2024
		LUAD: lung	g adeno	carcinoma; NSCL	C: non-sma	ill-cell lung	cancer; CNN: co	nvolutional	neural network; KNN:	k-nearest neigh	validation cohorts hbor; NA: not applicable.	MPREHENSIVE CANCER CENTER

# Trend – Combining Tumor Characteristics with Radiomics - Response to ICI (DyAM)





 Training cohort (247), Radiology validation (46), Pathology validation (53)

Vanguri RS et al. Nat cancer. 2024

MELVIN AND BREN SIMON COMPREHENSIVE CANCER CENTER

### Conclusions

- Rapid expansion of biomarkers in conjunction with imaging
  - Lung cancer screening/Earlier lung cancer diagnosis
  - Nodule risk assessment
- Increasing biomarker availability\*
- Trends in early lung cancer diagnosis
  - Less invasive
  - Multi-omics (not cancer specific)
  - Prediction of utility
  - Clinical utility studies to determine if estimates predict usefulness in practice
  - Radiomics
  - Combining clinical, radiologic and biomarker characteristics to improve performance and predict response to therapy

