Zanidatamab in Previously Treated HER2-Positive (HER2+) Biliary Tract Cancer (BTC): Overall survival (OS) and Longer Follow-Up From the Phase 2b HERIZON-BTC-01 Study

Shubham Pant,[1,*] Jia Fan,[2] Do-Youn Oh,[3] Hye Jin Choi,[4] Jin Won Kim,[5] Heung-Moon Chang,[6] Lequn Bao,[7] Hui-Chuan Sun,[2] Teresa Macarulla,[8] Feng Xie,[9] Jean-Philippe Metges,[10] Jie-Er Ying,[11] John A. Bridgewater,[12] Mohamedtaki A. Tejani,[13] Emerson Y. Chen,[14] Harpreet Wasan,[15] Michel Ducreux,[16] Yi Zhao,[17] Phillip M. Garfin,[18] James J. Harding[19]

[1]MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX, USA; [2]Zhongshan Hospital of Fudan University, Shanghai, China; [3]Seoul National University Hospital, Cancer Research Institute, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Integrated Major in Innovative Medical Science, Seoul National University Graduate School, Seoul, South Korea; [4]Yonsei Cancer Center, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, South Korea; [5]Seoul National University Bundang Hospital, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seongnam, South Korea; [6]Asan Medical Center, University of Ulsan College of Medicine, Seoul, South Korea; [7]Hubei Cancer Hospital, Wuhan, Hubei, China; [8]Vall d´Hebrón University Hospital, Vall d´Hebrón Institute of Oncology (VHIO), Barcelona, Spain; [9]The Third Affiliated Hospital of the Chinese People's Liberation Army Naval Military Medical University, Shanghai, China; [10]CHRU de Brest - Hopital Morvan, ARPEGO Network, Brest, France; [11]Zhejiang Cancer Hospital, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China; [12]University College London Cancer Institute, London, UK; [13]AdventHealth, Altamonte Springs, FL, USA; [14]Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, OR, USA; [15]Hammersmith Hospital, Imperial College London, London, UK; [16]Université Paris-Saclay, Gustave Roussy, Villejuif, France; [17]BeiGene, Beijing, China; [18]Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Palo Alto, CA, USA; [19]Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA

*Presenting author.

Background

- Biliary tract cancer (BTC) encompasses a group of rare and aggressive gastrointestinal tract cancers, including gallbladder cancer (GBC) and intrahepatic and extrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma (iCCA and eCCA, respectively)[1]
- BTC accounts for less than 1% of adult cancers and is associated with a poor prognosis (5-year survival of 15% overall and 3% for metastatic disease)[2,3]
- After failure of first-line treatment, subsequent chemotherapy is associated with a median overall survival (OS) of approximately 6-9 months and poor tolerability[4,5]
- Human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) protein overexpression or gene amplification is observed in a subset of patients with BTC (approximately 19-31% of GBC, 4-5% of iCCAs, and 17-19% of eCCAs)[6,7]; therefore, HER2 is a rational therapeutic target in BTC
- Zanidatamab is a dual HER2-targeted bispecific antibody that targets 2 distinct sites on HER2, promoting HER2 receptor crosslinking and driving multiple mechanisms of action, including[8]:
  - Facilitation of HER2 internalization and subsequent degradation
  - Reduction of HER2 homo- and hetero-dimerization
  - Immune-mediated effects (complement-dependent cytotoxicity as well as antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity and phagocytosis)
- In November 2024, zanidatamab received accelerated approval for the treatment of patients with previously treated unresectable or metastatic HER2-positive (immunohistochemistry [IHC] 3+) BTC based on the results of the phase 2b HERIZON-BTC-01 trial (NCT04466891)[9]
- In the HERIZON-BTC-01 trial, after a median follow-up of 12.4 months (data cutoff: October 10, 2022), zanidatamab showed encouraging antitumor activity (41.3% confirmed objective response rate [cORR]) with rapid and durable responses and a manageable safety profile in patients with previously treated HER2-positive BTC[10]
  - OS data were not yet mature at the time of the primary analysis[10]

Objective

- To assess the efficacy, including OS, and safety of zanidatamab in patients with HER2-positive BTC enrolled in HERIZON-BTC-01

Methods

Figure 1. Study Design



[a]Prophylactic treatment included corticosteroids (hydrocortisone 100 mg IV or dexamethasone 10 mg IV), antihistamines (diphenhydramine 50 mg oral or IV), and acetaminophen (650-1000 mg oral); [b]Per ICR.
AE, adverse event; BTC, biliary tract cancer; cORR, confirmed objective response rate; CT, computerized tomography; DCR, disease control rate; DOR, duration of response; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; ICR, independent central review; IHC, immunohistochemistry; IRR, infusion-related reaction; IV, intravenous; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; OS, overall survival, PFS, progression-free survival; Q2W, once every 2 weeks; Q8W, once every 8 weeks; RECIST v1.1, Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors version 1.1; SAE, serious adverse event.

- HERIZON-BTC-01 is an open-label, global, phase 2b study of zanidatamab in previously treated patients with advanced or metastatic *HER2*-amplified BTC (**Figure 1**)
  - Patients with centrally confirmed *HER2*-amplified tumors (assessed by in situ hybridization) were prospectively assigned into 1 of 2 cohorts:
    - HER2-positive: Cohort 1 (centrally confirmed IHC 2+ or 3+)
    - Others: Cohort 2 (centrally confirmed IHC 0 or 1+)
- Updated efficacy analyses reported here include only Cohort 1 (final Cohort 2 data were previously reported).[10] Safety analyses include all patients

Results

Table 1. Baseline Demographics and Patient Disease Characteristics[9]

Characteristic	HER2-Positive (Cohort 1; n=80)	All Patients (N=87)
Age, median (IQR)	64.0 (57.5, 70.0)	64.0 (58.0, 70.0)
Female, n (%)	45 (56.3)	47 (54.0)
Race, n (%)[a]		
Asian	52 (65.0)	57 (65.5)
White	23 (28.8)	25 (28.7)
Other	5 (6.2)	5 (5.7)
ECOG PS, n (%)		
0	22 (27.5)	23 (26.4)
1	58 (72.5)	64 (73.6)
BTC subtype, n (%)		
GBC	41 (51.3)	45 (51.7)
iCCA	23 (28.8)	26 (29.9)
eCCA	16 (20.0)	16 (18.4)
HER2 status by central assessment, n (%)		
IHC 3+	62 (77.5)	62 (71.3)
IHC 2+	18 (22.5)	18 (20.7)
IHC 1+	0 (0)	3 (3.4)
IHC 0	0 (0)	4 (4.6)
Lines of prior therapy for metastatic or locally advanced disease, median (IQR)[b,c]	1.0 (1, 2)	1.0 (1, 2)
Previous systemic therapy, n (%)		
Gemcitabine-based[b]	80 (100)	87 (100)
Gemcitabine + cisplatin[d]	61 (76.2)	65 (74.7)
Fluoropyrimidine-based[d,e]	27 (33.8)	31 (35.6)
PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor[d]	21 (26.2)	22 (25.3)
Gemcitabine + fluoropyrimidine[d]	5 (6.2)	5 (5.7)

[a]Numbers may not sum to 100% due to rounding to the nearest integer; [b]Includes gemcitabine-based therapies received in the adjuvant/neoadjuvant setting if progression occurred within 6 months of completion of therapy or surgery; [c]Total regimens as designated by the investigator; [d]Patients were counted at most once under each regimen type received and may be counted in multiple categories; [e]Excludes regimens in combination with gemcitabine.
BTC, biliary tract cancer; eCCA, extrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; GBC, gallbladder cancer; HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; iCCA, intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma; IHC, immunohistochemistry; IQR, interquartile range; PD-1, programmed cell death protein 1; PD-L1, programmed cell death-ligand 1.

- From September 15, 2020, to March 16, 2022, 80 patients were enrolled in Cohort 1 and 7 patients were enrolled in Cohort 2. Data cutoff for this analysis was July 28, 2023
- The baseline demographics and disease characteristics have been previously reported[10] and are summarized in **Table 1**
- The median (range) duration of follow-up was 21.9 (16-34) months
- Zanidatamab treatment was ongoing for 9 (11%) patients, and 11 (14%) patients were in survival follow-up

Figure 2. Target Lesion Reduction in Patients With HER2-Positive BTC (Cohort 1)[a]



[a]Indicates patients with tumors of IHC 2+ status; all other patients had tumors with IHC status of 3+.
[b]Only patients with measurable disease at baseline and at least 1 post-baseline assessment were included (n=79).
Dotted lines indicate 20% increase and 30% decrease in sum of diameters of target tumors.
BTC, biliary tract cancer; eCCA, extrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma; GBC, gallbladder cancer; HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; iCCA, intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma; IHC, immunohistochemistry.

Table 2. Disease Response in Patients With HER2-Positive BTC (Cohort 1)

Antitumor Activity (Cohort 1)[a]	n=80
cORR,[b] n (%) [95% CI]	33 (41.3) [30.4, 52.8]
Complete response, n (%)	2 (2.5)
Partial response, n (%)	31 (38.8)
Stable disease, n (%)	22 (27.5)
Progressive disease, n (%)	24 (30.0)
DCR,[c] n (%) [95% CI]	55 (68.8) [57.4, 78.7]
CBR,[d] n (%) [95% CI]	38 (47.5) [36.2, 59.0]

Antitumor Activity in IHC Subgroups	IHC 3+ (n=62)	IHC 2+ (n=18)
cORR, n (%) [95% CI]	32 (51.6) [38.6, 64.5]	1 (5.6) [0.1, 27.3]

[a]Efficacy analysis (ie, all patients in Cohort 1 who received any dose of zanidatamab) per ICR; [b]One patient was not evaluable; [c]Best overall response of stable disease or confirmed complete response or partial response; [d]Stable disease ≥24 weeks or confirmed best overall response of complete response or partial response.
BTC, biliary tract cancer; CBR, clinical benefit rate; CI, confidence interval; cORR, confirmed objective response rate; DCR, disease control rate; HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; ICR, independent central review; IHC, immunohistochemistry.

- With additional follow-up, the cORR remained consistent with the primary analysis (41.3%; IHC 3+ subset: 51.6%)
  - One additional patient achieved a complete response (n=2; 2.5%)

Figure 3. Duration of Response in Patients With HER2-Positive BTC (Cohort 1)[a-c]



[a]Per ICR in patients with confirmed responses (n=33); [b]Estimates per Kaplan-Meier method; median DOR CIs based on the Brookmeyer and Crowley method with log-log transformations; [c]CIs at 16 weeks, 6 months, and 12 months based on the Greenwood method.
BTC, biliary tract cancer; CI, confidence interval; DOR, duration of response; HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; ICR, independent central review; NR, not reached.

- With additional follow-up, the median duration of response (DOR; 95% confidence interval [CI]) increased by approximately 2 months to 14.9 (7.4, not reached [NR]) months compared with the primary analysis[10]
  - **The median DOR (95% CI) in patients with IHC 3+ tumors was 14.9 (7.4, NR) months**
  - The DOR in the 1 responder with IHC 2+ tumors was 7.5 months
- Among all patients in Cohort 1, the median OS (95% CI) was 15.5 (10.4, 18.5) months
  - **In patients with IHC 3+ tumors, the median OS (95% CI) was 18.1 (12.2, 23.2) months**

Figure 4. Treatment Duration and Response by Time Point in Confirmed Responders per ICR (Cohort 1)[a]



[a]Patients with confirmed responses only (n=33).
BTC, biliary tract cancer; eCCA, extrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma; GBC, gallbladder cancer; iCCA, intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma; ICR, independent central review; IHC, immunohistochemistry.

- At the time of data cutoff, 8 patients had an ongoing response and were continuing to have radiographic follow-up, where the longest response was 20.3 months
- Median progression-free survival (PFS; 95% CI) was maintained (5.5 [3.6, 7.3] months) compared with the primary analysis[10]; the longest PFS time was 25.7 months, which was ongoing at the time of data cutoff
  - **In patients with IHC 3+ tumors, the median PFS (95% CI) was 7.2 (5.4, 9.4) months**
  - In patients with IHC 2+ tumors, the median PFS (95% CI) was 1.7 (1.0, 3.3) months

Figure 5. Kaplan-Meier Plot of OS (Cohort 1)[a,b]



[a]Estimates per Kaplan-Meier method; median OS CIs based on the Brookmeyer and Crowley method with log-log transformations; [b]CIs for 6-month and 12-month OS based on the Greenwood method.
CI, confidence interval; IHC, immunohistochemistry; OS, overall survival.

- Median OS (95% CI) was 15.5 (10.4, 18.5) months; the longest survival time was 31.8 months, which was censored without death at the time of data cutoff

Table 3. Overall Safety of Zanidatamab (Cohorts 1 and 2)

	N=87
Any TEAE, n (%)	84 (96.6)
Any TRAE, n (%)	63 (72.4)
Grade 1-2	45 (51.7)
Grade 3-4[a]	18 (20.7)
Grade 5	0 (0)
Serious TRAEs, n (%)[b]	8 (9.2)
TRAEs leading to treatment discontinuation, n (%)	2 (2.3)[c]

	All grades	Grades 3-4
Most common TRAEs,[d] n (%)		
Diarrhea	32 (36.8)	4 (4.6)
Infusion-related reaction	29 (33.3)	1 (1.1)
Ejection fraction decreased	9 (10.3)	3 (3.4)
Nausea	8 (9.2)	1 (1.1)
Alanine aminotransferase increased	6 (6.9)	1 (1.1)
Aspartate aminotransferase increased	6 (6.9)	2 (2.3)
Vomiting	6 (6.9)	0 (0)
Fatigue	5 (5.7)	0 (0)
Anemia	4 (4.6)	3 (3.4)
AESI, n (%)		
Infusion-related reaction	29 (33.3)	1 (1.1)
Confirmed cardiac events	5 (5.7)	3 (3.4)
Non-infectious pulmonary toxicities	1 (1.1)	1 (1.1)

[a]One patient experienced a grade 4 TRAE (aspartate aminotransferase increased); [b]Included alanine aminotransferase increased and aspartate aminotransferase increased (both occurred in 1 patient), anemia, diarrhea, ejection fraction decreased, enteritis, infusion-related reaction, oral candidiasis, and pneumonitis (each occurred in 1 patient); [c]One was due to pneumonitis and the other was due to ejection fraction decreased; [d]Any-grade TRAE reported in ≥5% of patients or grade ≥3 TRAE in ≥2 patients.
AESI, adverse event of special interest; TEAE, treatment-emergent adverse event; TRAE, treatment-related adverse event.

- With additional follow-up, zanidatamab continued to have a manageable safety profile with no new safety signals identified
- There were no deaths related to zanidatamab treatment
- Treatment-related adverse events (TRAEs) leading to dose reductions remained infrequent
  - Grade 3 diarrhea (n=1), grade 1 diarrhea and grade 1 nausea (n=1), and grade 2 weight decreased (n=1)
- One patient experienced serious TRAEs since the primary analysis[10] (alanine aminotransferase increased and aspartate aminotransferase increased)
- No additional patients discontinued treatment due to TRAEs since the primary analysis[10]

Conclusions

- With additional follow-up from the primary analysis, zanidatamab continues to demonstrate durable and sustained responses (cORR of 41.3%; 51.6% in IHC 3+ subset), with a median DOR of 14.9 months
- The median OS of 18.1 months in the HER2 IHC 3+ subset (15.5 months in Cohort 1) is notable in this patient population who historically have poor outcomes after gemcitabine-based treatment
- The safety profile of zanidatamab monotherapy remained manageable with favorable tolerability
  - Serious or high-grade TRAEs were infrequent, as were treatment discontinuations due to TRAEs
  - There were no treatment-related deaths
- The clinical development of zanidatamab in the treatment of HER2-positive BTC continues with the ongoing, global, randomized phase 3 study (HERIZON-BTC-02; NCT06282575) of zanidatamab in combination with standard-of-care therapy in the first-line setting for patients with HER2-positive BTC

References: 1. Moeini A, et al. *JHEP Rep.* 2021;3(2):100226. **2.** Koshiol J, et al. *BMC Cancer.* 2022;22(1):1178. **3.** Siegel RL, et al. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2022;72(1):7-33. **4.** Lamarca A, et al. *Lancet Oncol.* 2021;22(5):690-701. **5.** Yoo C, et al. *Lancet Oncol.* 2021;22(11):1560-1572. **6.** Galdy S, et al. *Cancer Metastasis Rev.* 2017;36(1):141-157. **7.** Hiraoka N, et al. *Hum Pathol.* 2020;105:9-19. **8.** Weisser NE, et al. *Nat Commun.* 2023;14(1):1394. **9.** FDA grants accelerated approval to zanidatamab-hrii for previously treated unresectable or metastatic HER2-positive biliary tract cancer. https://www.fda.gov/drugs/resources-information-approved-drugs/fda-grants-accelerated-approval-zanidatamab-hrii-previously-treated-unresectable-or-metastatic-HER2. Accessed March 13, 2025. **10.** Harding JJ, et al. *Lancet Oncol.* 2023;24(7):772-782. **11.** Eisenhauer EA, et al. *Eur J Cancer.* 2009;45(2):228-247.

Support and Acknowledgments: This study was supported by Jazz Pharmaceuticals, BeiGene Ltd, and Zymeworks. The authors would like to thank all patients and their families, all investigators, clinical trial researchers, personnel, and staff who contributed to or participated in the HERIZON-BTC-01 study. Medical writing support, under the direction of the authors, was provided by Ellen Woon, PhD, of CMC Affinity, a division of IPG Health Medical Communications, with funding from Jazz Pharmaceuticals, in accordance with Good Publication Practice (GPP 2022) guidelines.

Disclosures: S Pant has consulted for AskGene, Boehringer Ingelheim, Ipsen, Janssen, Novartis, and Zymeworks; and has received funding from 4D Pharma, Amal Therapeutics, Arcus Biosciences, Astellas Pharma, BioNTech, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol Myers Squibb, Elicio Therapeutics, Framewave, Immuneering, ImmunoMET, Ipsen, Janssen, Lilly, Mirati Therapeutics, NGM Biopharmaceuticals, Novartis, Pfizer, Rgenix, Xencor, and Zymeworks. **DY Oh** has been an advisory board member for Arcus Biosciences, ASLAN, AstraZeneca, Bayer, Basilea, BeiGene, Bristol Myers Squibb/Celgene, Genentech/Roche, Halozyme, IQVIA, Merck Serono, Novartis, Taiho, Turning Point, Yuhan, and Zymeworks; and has received funding from Array, AstraZeneca, BeiGene, Eli Lilly, Handok, Merck Sharp & Dohme, Novartis, and Servier. **HJ Choi** has consulted for AstraZeneca and Roche. **JW Kim** has consulted for AstraZeneca, Beyond Bio, BeiGene, Bristol Myers Squibb/Celgene, Eisai, GC Cell, Merck Sharp & Dohme, ONO, Sanofi-Aventis, Servier, and TCIBEit; and has received funding from Inno.N and Jeil Pharm. **HM Chang** has received funding from Zymeworks. **HC Sun** has consulted for TopAlliance and has received funding from AstraZeneca and TopAlliance. **T Macarulla** has an advisory role at Ability Pharmaceuticals SL, AstraZeneca, Basilea Pharma, Baxter, BioLineRX Ltd, Celgene, Eisai, Incyte, and Ipsen Bioscience Inc.; has received speaker's fees from Janssen and Lilly; and has received direct research funding from Merck Sharp & Dohme, Novocure, QED Therapeutics, Roche Farma, Sanofi-Aventis, Servier, and Zymeworks. **JP Metges** has received honoraria from Astellas Pharma, Bayer, Bristol Myers Squibb, Merck, and Merck Sharp & Dohme. **JA Bridgewater** has consulted for Bristol Myers Squibb, Incyte, Servier, and Taiho; received funding from Incyte; and has received honoraria from Incyte and Servier. **MA Tejani** has received honoraria from Caris and Natera. **EY Chen** has been an advisory board member for MDoutlook; received research funding from Merck Sharp & Dohme, G1 Therapeutics, Ipsen Bioscience Inc., Taiho Oncology, and Jazz Pharmaceuticals; and received accommodation and travel expenses from Daiichi-Sankyo/AstraZeneca. **H Wasan** is an advisory board member and/or invited speaker at Amgen, Bayer, Bristol Myers Squibb (Celgene), BTG, Eryftech Pharma, Incyte, Merck KGaA, Pfizer, Pierre Fabre, Roche/Genentech/FM, Seagen, Servier, SIRTEX Medical, and Zymeworks; has consultancies at Bayer, Celgene, Incyte, NICE/BSI expert, Oncosil, and Pierre Fabre; is a member of the Global Trials steering committee at ARCAD (Pancreas Academic), Merck KGaA, Pfizer (Array), SIRTEX, and Zymeworks; and has received research funding from Merck Serono, Merck Sharp & Dohme, Pfizer, and SIRTEX. **M Ducreux** has been an advisory board member for Amgen, AstraZeneca, Bayer, BeiGene, Boehringer Ingelheim, Daiichi-Sankyo, Merck Serono, Merck Sharp & Dohme, Pierre Fabre, Roche, and Servier; has been a speaker in symposia for Bayer, Daiichi-Sankyo, Merck Serono, Merck Sharp & Dohme, Pierre Fabre, Roche, and Servier; has received funding from Keocyt, Merck Serono, and Roche; and participated in independent data monitoring committees for Pancan and Roche. M Ducreux's spouse is the head of the oncology business unit at Sandoz France. **Y Zhao** is an employee of and owns stock or stock options in BeiGene. **PM Garfin** is a current employee of Jazz Pharmaceuticals and owns stock or stock options in Jazz Pharmaceuticals, and is a former employee of and owned stock or stock options in Zymeworks. **JJ Harding** has consulted or served as an advisory board member for Adaptimmune, AstraZeneca, Bristol Myers Squibb, Exelixis, Elevar, Eisai, Genoscience, Hepion, Imvax, Merck, Medivir, QED, Tyra, and Zymeworks; and has received funding from Bristol Myers Squibb, Boehringer Ingelheim, OytomX, Debiopharm, Eli Lilly, Genoscience, Incyte, LoxoGlLilly, Novartis, Polaris, Pfizer, Zymeworks, and Yiviva. **J Fan**, **L Bao**, **F Xie**, and **JE Ying** have nothing to disclose.

Scan this code to access this poster online.
This code is not for promotional purposes.

Corresponding email: SPant@mdanderson.org

Poster presented at the 2025 Florida Society of Clinical Oncology Spring Congress; April 4-5, 2025; Orlando, FL, USA