Cemiplimab monotherapy for first line advanced NSCLC patients with PD-L1 expression ≥50%: 5-year outcomes of EMPOWER-Lung 1

Saadettin Kilickap,¹ Ahmet Sezer,² Mustafa Özgüroğlu,³ Mahmut Gumus,⁴ Igor Bondarenko,⁵ Miranda Gogishvili,⁶ Marina Nechaeva,⁷ Michael Schenker,⁸ Irfan Cicin,⁹ Ho Gwo Fuang,¹⁰ Yaroslav Kulyaba,¹¹ Kasimova Zyuhal,¹² Roxana-Ioana Scheusan,¹³ Ana Baramidze,¹⁴ Marina Chiara Garassino,¹⁵ Yuntong Li,¹⁶ Xue Jia,¹⁶ Jean-Francois Pouliot,¹⁶ Eric Kim,¹⁶ Heather Magnan¹⁶

¹Department of Medical Oncology, Istinye University Faculty of Medicine, Istanbul, Turkey; ²Department of Medical Faculty, Istanbul, Turkey; ²Department of Medical Oncology, Başkent University, Adana, Turkey; ²Department of Medical Oncology, Istinye University, Adana, Turkey; ²Department of Medical Oncology, Başkent University, Adana, Turkey; ²Departme ⁴Department of Medical Oncology, School of Medical Radiology Dnipropetrovsk Medical Radiology and Medical Centre, University Clinic, Tbilisi, Georgia; ⁷Division Arkhangelsk Clinical Oncology Center, Arkhangelsk, Russia; ⁸Centrul de Oncologie Sf. Nectarie SRL, Craiova, Romania; ⁹Department of Medical Oncology, Istinye University Faculty of Medicine, Istanbul, Turkey; ¹⁰Clinical Oncology Department, Faculty of Medicine, Universiti Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia; ¹¹Prognosis Optima LLC, Kyiv, Ukraine; ¹²Multiprofile Hospital for Active Treatment, Dobrich, Bulgaria; ¹³Oncocenter Oncologie Clinica, Timisoara, Romania; ¹⁴Acad. F. Todua Medical Center, Thilisi, Georgia; ¹⁵Department of Medicine, Section of Hematology/Oncology, Knapp Center for Biomedical Discovery, The University of Chicago, IL, USA; ¹⁶Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Tarrytown, NY, USA.

Background

• Previous data from the EMPOWER-Lung 1 study demonstrated a significant survival benefit for first-line cemiplimab monotherapy versus chemotherapy in patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) and programmed cell death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) expression $\geq 50\%^{1,2}$

Objectives

- Here, we report the protocol pre-specified final overall survival (OS) and progression-free survival (PFS) analyses with 5-year follow-up.
- We also report outcomes of patients who continued cemiplimab at disease progression with the addition of chemotherapy.

Conclusions



Q

At 5-year follow-up

- Cemiplimab monotherapy continued to show durable OS and PFS benefits versus chemotherapy in patients with advanced NSCLC with PD-L1 ≥50%.
- Cemiplimab benefits increased with PD-L1 expression levels; patients with PD-L1 \geq 90% derived the largest clinical benefits

Addition of chemotherapy beyond progression

- The addition of chemotherapy to cemiplimab monotherapy beyond progression demonstrated meaningful clinical benefits (objective response rate [ORR], PFS, and OS), potentially providing a new treatment option for patients who progressed on first-line cemiplimab monotherapy.
- These results increase our understanding of potential treatment strategy beyond progression for patients receiving first-line cemiplimab monotherapy for advanced metastatic NSCLC with PD-L1 \geq 50%.

References

- 1. Sezer A. et al. Lancet. 2021;397:592-604.
- 2. Özgüroğlu M, et al. Lancet Oncol. 2023;24:989–1001.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the patients and their families, the investigators and investigational site members, and the 24 countries which enrolled patients from 138 study sites (Australia, Belarus, Brazil, Bulgaria, Chile, China, Columbia, Czech Republic, Georgia, Greece, Hungary, Jordan, Lebanon, Malaysia, Mexico, Philippines, Poland, Romania, Russia, Spain, Taiwan, Thailand, Turkey, and Ukraine).

This study (NCT03088540) was sponsored by Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Sanofi. Medical writing support was provided by Elizabeth Smith of Alpha (a division of Prime, Knutsford, UK).

Disclosures

Saadettin Kilickap declares no conflicts of interest. Co-author disclosures are available via the QR code.

Scan the QR code for the Supplementary Appendix







Methods

• EMPOWER-Lung 1 was a multicenter, open-label, randomized, phase 3 study of cemiplimab in patients with treatment-naive squamous or non-squamous NSCLC with PD-L1 expression in \geq 50% of tumor cells (**Figure 1**).

Figure 1. EMPOWER-Lung 1 study design



Crossover occurred in 75% of patients who had progressive disease in the cemiplimab arm ALK, anaplastic lymphoma kinase; CNS, central nervous system; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; EGFR, epidermal growth

factor receptor; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; ITT, intention-to-treat; IV, intravenous; NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer; OS, overall survival; PD-L1, programmed cell death-ligand 1; PFS, progression-free survival; Q3W, every 3 weeks; R, randomized; ROS1, c-ros oncogene 1; ROW, rest of the world.

- Patients were randomized 1:1 to cemiplimab (350 mg every 3 weeks for 2 years) or investigator's choice of chemotherapy.
- Primary endpoints were OS and PFS per blinded independent review committee (BIRC).
- For the post-progression analysis, response was assessed per BIRC against the last scan prior to the initial dose of chemotherapy.

Results

Of 712 randomized patients, 565 had verified PD-L1 \geq 50% (cemiplimab, n=284; chemotherapy, n=281). Median follow-up in this population was 57.3 months (range: 46.5–78.4).

Efficacy

5-year results

- Cemiplimab showed continued clinical benefits at 5 years (Supplemental Table 1).
- OS and PFS data at 5 years are shown in Figures 2 and 3.
- The OS and PFS in key subgroups were consistent with previous findings, and all estimates were in favor of cemiplimab (Supplemental Figure 1).
- ORR was 46.5% (95% CI: 40.6–52.5) for cemiplimab versus 20.6% (95% CI: 16.1–25.8) for chemotherapy. The median duration of response was 24.1 months for cemiplimab and 5.9 months for chemotherapy (**Supplemental Figure 2**).

Figure 2. Kaplan-Meier curves for OS through 5 years

_
(%)
PFS
of
ility
bab
0



-		
•	Ir	npi
	(F	Fig
•	Т	he
	Ρ	FS

Safety

Duratio median TEAEs, Overall

Led to Led to Treatme Overall Led to Led to Sponso Overal Led to Led to

[†]Cause of death due to nephritis and myocarditis Adverse events are reported for all patients who received either intervention (safety analysis set). All events are listed as shown in the study safety report; hence, some events might reflect the same condition. TEAEs, treatment-emergent adverse events.

PD-L1 expression

proved cemiplimab activity was observed in patients with PD-L1 \geq 90% **ure 4**)

5-year OS probability for patients with PD-L1 \geq 90% was 39.8%. Median for these patients was 14.7 months (Supplemental Table 2) and the ORR (95% CI) was 60.6% (50.3–70.3) (Supplemental Figure 3).

Figure 4. Kaplan-Meier curves for OS with increasing PD-L1 expression PD-L1 ≥50% Median OS



mo, months; OS, overall survival; PD-L1, programmed cell death-ligand 1

• The safety profile at 5 years of either intervention was consistent with previous results.

• Grade \geq 3 treatment-emergent adverse events (TEAEs) occurred in 45.8% (cemiplimab) and 51.6% (chemotherapy) of patients (Table 1). • TEAEs occurring in $\geq 10\%$ of patients in either arm are shown in Supplemental Figure 4.

Table 1. Cemiplimab safety profile

	Cemip (n=3	olimab 356)	Chemo (n=:	therapy 343)
n of exposure, weeks, (range)	36.0 (0.3–136.0)		18.0 (0.6–141.1)	
	Any grade	Grade 3–5	Any grade	Grade 3–5
regardless of attribution, n (%)				
l	330 (92.7)	163 (45.8)	329 (95.9)	177 (51.6)
discontinuation	32 (9.0)	20 (5.6)	17 (5.0)	10 (2.9)
death	36 (10.1)	36 (10.1)	33 (9.6)	33 (9.6)
ent-related TEAEs, n (%)				
l	224 (62.9)	65 (18.3)	310 (90.4)	137 (39.9)
discontinuation	26 (7.3)	15 (4.2)	15 (4.4)	10 (2.9)
death	10 (2.8)	10 (2.8)	7 (2.0)	7 (2.0)
or-identified immune-related TEAEs, n (%)				
l	83 (23.3)	17 (4.8)	12 (3.5)	2 (0.6)
discontinuation	16 (4.5)	9 (2.5)	0	0
death [†]	2 (0.6)	2 (0.6)	0	0

Arm A
Cemiplimat
IV 350 mg (
Treat until p
disease or ¹

[†]Patients included received ≥1 dose of chemotherapy and had ≥1 scan following progressive disease on cemiplimab; 1 patient did not have confirmed progressive disease. IV, intravenous; Q3W, every 3 weeks.

Figure 6. A) ORR and PFS and B) OS with cemiplimab + chemotherapy beyond progression **(A)** 95% CI)† **%** 0.9-

	0.8
Ë	0.7
л Т	0.6
0 >	0.5
iii	0.4
ab	0.3
ĝ	0.2
Pr	0.1
	0

Patients at risk, **Initial cemiplimab** monotherapy After added chemotherapy

(В)		1.0
	(%	0.9
	ů Č	0.8
	ő	0.7
	of	0.6
	Ę	0.5
	ili	0.4
	ab	0.3
	d o	0.2
	д	0.1
		0

Patients at risk

The tumor response during the period after added chemotherapy was assessed by BIRC against a new baseline, defined as the last scan prior to the initial dose of chemotherapy. PFS is defined as the time from randomization (for initial cemiplimab monotherapy) or from the new baseline (for the period after added chemotherapy) to the date of the first documented tumor progression or death due to any cause. Includes median OS of 15.1 months after added chemotherapy BIRC, blinded independent review committee; mo, months; ORR, objective response rate; OS, overall survival; PFS, progression-free survival.

Combination treatment with cemiplimab plus chemotherapy was generally well tolerated, with 27 patients (36.0%) experiencing grade \geq 3 TEAEs (Table 2, Figure 7).

Table 2. TEAEs after adding chemotherapy to cemiplimab beyond progression

	After added	chemotherapy (n=75)	
Duration of cemiplimab exposure, weeks, median (range)	26.	26.7 (3.0–117.7)	
	Any grade	Grade 3–5	
TEAEs, regardless of attribution, n (%)			
Overall	329 (95.9)	177 (51.6)	
Led to discontinuation	17 (5.0)	10 (2.9)	
Led to death	0	0	
TEAE, treatment-emergent adverse event.			

- Anemia Alopecia
- Diarrhea
- Nausea
- Neutropenia
- Asthenia

Post-progression analysis

• Patients who progressed after cemiplimab monotherapy had the option to continue with the addition of four cycles of chemotherapy (n=75) (Figure 5). Demographics were similar to those receiving cemiplimab in the overall population (Supplemental Table 3).

• ORR, PFS, and OS data for this patient population at 5 years are shown in Figure 6A and 6B.

Figure 5. Adding chemotherapy to cemiplimab beyond progression





